

Automated Compliance Checking for Layouts:

Knowledge-based evaluation of layouts 2009–2011 Validation of Use of Virtual Prototypes for Control Room Verification and Validation 2012–2014

Morten A. Gustavsen Michael N. Louka

Objectives

- Develop a spatial evaluation method that can be used to define sets of tests to support knowledge-based evaluation of a 3D layout
 - Use-cases include
 - Review of control centre ergonomics
 - Operations and outage planning
 - Decommissioning

Knowledge-based Spatial Layout Evaluation

- Method based on encoded knowledge
 - Properties of things/people in a virtual layout (ontologies)
 - Design rules (required/desirable) to check against
 - Design patterns to aim for and anti-patterns to avoid
- Represent guidelines and/or requirements as rule-based tests (e.g. based on NUREG-0700)
 - Tests can be executed by an analysis tool
 - Tests are reusable/repeatable

• An evaluation or review comprises of a set of reusable tests

Objective: Accuracy

- Rules combined with scene analysis techniques
 - More consistent and accurate results
 - Faster repetition of tests while maintaining accuracy





Figure 4.3: Spacing of equipment to accommodate seated users (In em as suggested in original figure)

Objective: Open Architecture

- Can incorporate knowledge from multiple sources, e.g.
 - Ergonomic guidelines
 - Fire and emergency procedures
 - Input from operator experience interviews
 - Knowledge from earlier design phases & iterations
- Broader scope of information can help designers make good decisions early

Objective: Open Architecture

- Specify semantics and rules using open standards if possible
- Underlying knowledge handled separately from application
- Reuse tests without changing application code
 - Define once and reuse across projects
 - Domain experts can adapt tests to different guidelines



Approach

- Focused initially on W3C Semantic Web
 - Open standards for semantic data and knowledge representation
 - Well-established technologies
- Using RDF and OWL
 - Applications of XML
 - Human and machine readable
 - Inference and knowledge reasoning supported by open rule-engines

Testbed and Proof of Concept 2009-2011



Requirements handling using knowledge-based techniques



Geometry analysis to enable spatially-oriented tests

Examples of guidelines from NUREG-0700 Rev. 2

Semi-Automated Control Room Layout Verification

Control Room V&V

- Does the design contain everything it needs to?
 - Human-system Interface inventory and categorisation
 - Overview of all needed HSI items and their categories
 - Task support
 - Overview of HSI items needed to support specific tasks
- Will it meet user & organizational requirements as an interactive system?
 - Compliance with HFE guidelines
 - Supports task scenarios
 - Checklist of specific requirements
- Collecting evidence that a design is fit for purpose

Evaluating Ergonomics

5th & 95th Percentile manikins of target population. Line of sight, view cone, reach, simulated view





Distance, Perpendicular Distance, Angles, Volumes, Dimensions

Evaluating Ergonomics



Minimum legible text size

Viewing Angle





Sit-down Console Control Height



Vertical Panels Control Height

O O Verification Tool: (thomasw@http://	/hermes.hrp.no/) AREVA_CR-design/AREVA1 - /vrdev-create4/create/data/local/worlds/crdesign/user-study/userstudy.world
- < > @ 🛈	Manikin Measurement
reg0700rev2_review_subset	<u>第→</u> 発- < □ > 預a 例 分 前 預→ m(m)
WORKSTATION DESIGN	
1 Workstation Configuration	
1.2 Sit-Down Console Dimensions 1.1.1.2-1 Console Height to See Over 1.1.1.2-2 Control Height 1.1.2-2 Encriboard Stope 1.1.2-4 Minimum Distance of Controls from the Front Edge of the Console 1.1.2-5 Display Height and Orientation 1.1.2-6 Location of Infrequently Monitored Displays 1.1.2-7 Location of Infrequently Monitored Displays	
11.1.2-8 VDU Viewing Distance 11.1.2-8 Lot of Controls and Displays 11.1.2-10 Leg and Foot Room	
L4 Vertical Panels 11.1.4-1 Control Height 11.1.4-2 Display Height 2 Julia - 2 Display Height 2 Julia - 2 Display Height	
3.1 Labels	
1.1.3.LSel Lettering 11.3.LS-1 Character Height	
1 Control Room	
1.1 Control Room Configuration	
1.1.3 Furniture and Equipment Layout 12.1.1.3-1 Viewing 12.1.1.3-3 Access to Workstations 12.1.1.3-5 Maneuvering Space 12.1.1.3-6 Equipment-to-Opposing-Surface Distance	
L2.5 Auditory Environment 12.1.2.5-2 Background Noise 12.1.2.5-3 Background Noise Level	
2.1 Labeling	
2.11 Placement of Labels 12.2.1.1-6 Label Omentation 12.2.1.2-3 Character Height	
, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



Interior Angles



Console Display Height & Orientation





Operator Manoeuvring Space





Auditory Environment





Summary of Results of Validation Study

- Good agreement between subjects for tasks with automated assistance
- Some guidelines were considered difficult to understand
- Automated assistance helpful for most tasks where it was available
 - Time saving potential was frequently highlighted
 - Important to be able to see an explanation of how the software came to its recommendations with as much detail as possible.

Our plans/needs regarding decom

- Define/Standardise to support interchange of data between systems:
 - Discipline profiles for BIM/IFC
 - Ontologies for data objects that support the disciplines
- Will enable these types of analyses and more advanced risk (including radiological risk) analyses to be done using the shared BIM model and integrate with planning/optimisation
 - In addition, for decom we can also leverage the rule-checking in BIM tools intended for construction
 - Mostly focussed on collision checking and rules about objects